SUPRE STATE OF 4/3/2018 BY SUSAN	ILED ME COURT WASHINGTON 8 8:55 AM I L. CARLSON LERK			
4				
5				
6				
7	IN THE WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT			
8 9	TAZMINA VERJEE-VAN and BRIAN VAN,)		
10	Petitioners,) NO. 95677-4		
11	vs.))		
12	PIERCE COUNTY, acting through its) MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE) PETITIONS FOR REVIEW		
13	Department of Planning and Land Services and Office of Pierce County Hearing)		
14	Examiner,))		
15	Respondent.))		
16				
17	I. <u>Identity of Moving Party</u> .			
18	The petitioners request the relief designated in part II.			
19	II. Statement of Relief Sought.			
	Petitioners respectfully requests that this court consolidate this case with the petition for review filed in Verjee-Van v. Pierce County, Case No. 95461-5.			
20				
21	III. Statement of Facts Relevant to Motion.			
22	This Court is currently reviewing Verjee-Van v. Pierce County, Case			
23	No. 95461-5. The issue in that appeal is whether the Superior Court erred in			
24	denying the appellant's petition for writ of mandamus to require the County to apply			
25	the Shoreline Management codes to various structures on Lake Tapps. This is also			
	MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE PETITIONS FOR REVIEW - 1	HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S. 1008 SOUTH YAKIMA AVENUE, SUITE 302 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98405		

(253) 272-2157

1	the primary issue in the current appeal. The Superior Court, in its decision, noted
2	that a determination as to whether the Borgert pier is lawful is a primary issue in bot
3	that case and in the LUPA case. If this court grants the petition for review on the wr
4	of mandamus case, then the underlying decision rendered by the Hearing Examiner
5	in the current petition may be different and likely in favor of petitioners. Accordingly,
6	and based upon the concepts of judicial economy, petitioners respectfully urge this
7	Court to consolidate this petition for review with the petition for review filed in case
8	no. 95461-5.
9	IV. Grounds for Relief and Argument.
10	A. THIS COURT SHOULD GRANT PETITIONERS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE.
11	RAP 3.3 deals with consolidation of cases. RAP 3.3(b) provides:
12	(b) Cases Consolidated in Appellate Court. The appellate court, on
13	its own initiative or on motion of a party, may order the consolidation of cases for the purpose of review. A party should move to consolidate two or more cases if consolidation would
14 15	save time and expense and provide for a fair review of the cases. If two or more cases have been consolidated for review in
16	the Court of Appeals, the cases remain consolidated for review in the Supreme Court otherwise directs.
17	Petitioners request that this court grant their motion to consolidate this
18	case with <u>Verjee-Van v. Pierce County</u> , Case No. 95461-5.
19	V. <u>Conclusion</u> .
20	Based on the arguments, records and files contained herein,
21	petitioners respectfully requests that this court grant their motion to consolidate this
	**
22	**
23	**
24	**
25	

1	petition for review with the petition filed in Verjee-Van v. Pierce County, Case No		
2	95461-5.		
3	DATED this 29th day of March, 2018.		
4	HESTER LAW GROUP, INC. P.S.		
5	Attorneys for Petitioners		
6			
7	By: Brett A. Purtzer		
8	WSB #17283		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2				
3	I certify that on the day below set forth, I caused a true and correct			
4	copy of this motion to be served on the following in the manner indicated below:			
5	Counsel for Respondent	□U.S. Mail		
6	Cort T. O'Connor	☐ Hand Delivery ☐ ABC-Legal Messengers		
7	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney 955 Tacoma Avenue South #301	⊠Email		
8	Tacoma, WA 98402-2160 coconno@co.pierce.wa.us dwillin@co.pierce.wa.us			
9	Counsel for Borgert and Abercrombie	□U.S. Mail		
10	William T. Lynn	☐ Hand Delivery ☐ ABC-Legal Messengers		
1	Law Offices of Gordon Thomas Honeywell LLP 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100	□ABC-Legal Messengers□Email		
12	Tacoma, WA 98402 BLynn@gth-law.com			
13	Petitioners	□U.S. Mail		
14	Brian F. Van	☐ Hand Delivery ☐ ABC-Legal Messengers		
15	Tazmina Van 4225 Lakeridge Drive E	⊠Email		
16	Lake Tapps, WA 98391			
17				
18	Signed at Tacoma, Washington this 3 rd day of April, 2018.			
9	Kathy Hexbstler			
20				
21	ratify Hemodici			
22				
23				
24				
25				

HESTER LAW GROUP, INC., P.S.

April 03, 2018 - 8:55 AM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

Appellate Court Case Number: 95677-4

Appellate Court Case Title: Tazmina Verjee-Van and Brian Van v. Pierce County, et al.

Superior Court Case Number: 16-2-04323-8

The following documents have been uploaded:

956774_Motion_20180403085417SC599122_1423.pdf

This File Contains:

Motion 1 - Consolidation

The Original File Name was Verjee-Van motion.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

- COCONNO@co.pierce.wa.us
- blynn@gth-law.com
- dwillin@co.pierce.wa.us
- pcpatvecf@co.pierce.wa.us

Comments:

Sender Name: Lance Hester - Email: kathy@hesterlawgroup.com

Filing on Behalf of: Brett Andrews Purtzer - Email: brett@hesterlawgroup.com (Alternate Email:

brett@hesterlawgroup.com)

Address:

1008 South Yakima Avenue

Suite 302

Tacoma, WA, 98405

Phone: (253) 272-2157 EXT 224

Note: The Filing Id is 20180403085417SC599122